
THE MEED FOR AN EXPANDED DEFINITION OF GLISTENING SURFACE(U) ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER GRIFFISS AFB NY R J PAPA ET AL. OCT 82 RADC-TR-82-271 AD-A138 431 1/1 UNCLASSIFIED F/G 28/14 NL.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A


RADC-TR-82-271 In-House Report October 1982



# THE NEED FOR AN EXPANDED DEFINITION OF GLISTENING SURFACE

Robert J. Papa John F. Lennon Richard L. Taylor

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED



ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER Air Force Systems Command Griffiss Air Force Base, NY 13441

IMIC FILE COPY

ADA 134431

83 07 20 16

This report has been reviewed by the RADC Public Affairs Office (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be releasable to the general public, including foreign nations.

RADC-TR-82-271 has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

APPROVED:

PHILLIP BLACKSMITH

Chief, EM Techniques Branch

aflant Schull

Electromagnetic Sciences Division

APPROVED:

ALLAN C. SCHELL

Chief, Electromagnetic Sciences Division

FOR THE COMMANDER:

JOHN P. HUSS

Acting Chief, Plans Office

John P. Klus

If your address has changed or if you wish to be removed from the RADC mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify RADC (EECT), Hanscom AFB MA 01731. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return copies of this report unless contractual obligations or notices on a specific document requires that it be returned.

# Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM			
	3. RECIPIENT'S CREALOG NUMBER			
RADC-TR-82-271	82-438			
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED			
THE NEED FOR AN EXPANDED DEFINITION OF GLISTENING SURFACE	In-House Report			
or destanted	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER			
7 AUTHOR(s)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)			
Robert J. Papa				
John F. Lennon				
Richard L. Taylor  Performing organization name and address	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS			
Electromagnetic Sciences Division (RADC/EEC)	61102F			
Hanscom AFB Massachusetts 01731	2305J407			
MINISTRUMENTS OF THE STATE OF T	12. REPORT DATE			
Electromagnetic Sciences Division (RADC/EEC)	October 1982			
Hanscom AFB	13. NUMBER OF PAGES			
Massachusetts 01731  14 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)			
	Unclassified			
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
16 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)				
Assumed for public releases distribution unlimit	nd.			
Approved for public release; distribution unlimite	eu.			
	ĺ			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, if different from Report)				
	,			
	j			
18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
	ļ			
RADC Project Engineer: Robert J. Papa, RÅDC/EEC				
19 Kt. y WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number)				
Ferrain Rough surface scattering				
Glistening surface	i			
	į.			
23 ARSTRACT Continue on reverse of the Here essays and identify by block number;				
The first topic of this report is an analysis of the conditions under which				
the conventional definition of length of the glistening surface, as given by  Be kn ann and Soizzichino, is not valid. For a wide range of conditions.				
stantic anti-amounts of incoherent scattered power can be received from areas				
beyond the conventional length. A second topic is the investigation of the				
effect on angular tracking accuracy me to such scattering factors as shadow- me, surface beight distribution, stendard deviation in surface height 0.				
survice correlation length T, uneventess and inh				

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION O

20. (Contd:

antenna heights, where explored some ignormalization in the interesting results have reen charmond. It a mount of a find maximum ferent power not included as where so the convention, a minimum are constructed at a different except for the case where both transmitter and receiver are very close to the surface. Next, a Gaussian surface neight distribution generally results in less diffuse scattering than an exponential one. The trends seen in the results for scattering from the glistening surface do not differ for horizontal or vertical polarization, for Gaussian or exponential surface neight distribution, or for different signal frequencies in the S-band to L-band regions. In an absolute sense, the results for vertical polarization indicate that there would be greater angular tracking accuracy for that ease than for horizontal polarization, a result of particular interest for radar applications.



ession of

Powin: Nutice /

Avellabilitis - Codes

Avail and/or

ist | Special

# Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	7
	1.1 Background 1.2 The Problem 1.3 Scope	7 9 12
2,	GENERALITY OF THE RESULTS	13
	<ul> <li>2.1 Surface Height Distribution Effects</li> <li>3.4 Effects of Polarization</li> <li>4.3 Frequency Effects</li> <li>3.4 Antonna Pattern Effects</li> </ul>	13 15 19 20
٠	PARAMETRIC STUDIES	22
	3.1 Results for Fixed T and Variable $\sigma^2$ 3.2 Results for Fixed $\sigma^2$ and Variable F	22 24
:.	FURTHER RESULTS	27
	4.1 Akkitude of Antennas 4.1.2 A Uniform Rough Surface 4.1.2 Nonuniform Terrain 4.1.3 The Effects of Shadowing 4.2 The Effects of the Ratio 73 4.3 Coherent Power Bobayion	27 27 29 29 30 30
э.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	33
R1	FERENCES	35
ΑP	PPENDIN A: Scattering Model	37

# Illustrations

1.	Specular and Diffuse Forward-Scatter From a Rough Surface and a Representation of the Glistening Surface	9
2.	Comparison Between Usual Glistening Surface, Extended Glistening Surface, and DABS Data: Diffuse Scattered Power vs Range	11
3.	Variation of σ° Across the Antenna Separation, T = 158, 114 m	12
4.	Diffuse Power and $\sigma_{ij}$ Behavior for the Case of Beckmann and Spizzichino Length (BSL) Definition, T = 158, 114 m and No Shadowing	14
5.	Diffuse Power and $\sigma_q$ Behavior for the Case of Extended Length (EL) Definition, $T \in 500~m$ and No Shadowing	14
6.	Comparison of Shadowing Effects for the Two Definitions, T = 500 m, $\sigma^2$ = 100 m <sup>2</sup>	15
7.	The Effect of Assuming Gaussian Surface Heights on $\sigma_0$ Behavior for Both Definitions, Uniform Surface, T= 158, 114 m	16
8.	The Effect of Polarization on Diffuse Power Behavior for Both Definitions, $\sigma^2=10~\text{m}^2$	17
9.	The Effect of Horizontal Polarization on σ <sub>q</sub> Behavior for Both Definitions, DABS Site Data, No Shadowing	17
0.	The Effect of Relative Antenna Heights on σα Behavior for Vertical Polarization With Both Definitions and DABS Site Data	18
1.	The Effect of Frequency on the Diffuse Power Behavior for Both Definitions, Dual Antenna Heights and Uniform Surface With $\sigma^2=10~\mathrm{m}^2$ , and $T=100~\mathrm{m}$ , 500m	19
2.	Antenna Pattern Effects for Both Definitions With T = 500 m and $\sigma^2$ = 10 $m^2$ and $\sigma^2$ = 100 $m^2$	20
3.	Diffuse Power and σ <sub>n</sub> Behavior for the Case of Beckmann and Spizzichino Length (BSL) Definition, T = 500 m and No Shadowing	21
4.	Diffuse Power and $\sigma_{tt}$ Behavior for the Case of Extended Length (EL) Definition, T=500 m and No Shadowing	21
5.	Comparison of Shadowing Effects for the Two Definitions, $T = 500 \text{ m}$ , $\sigma^2 = 100 \text{ m}^2$	23
6.	$\sigma_{\mu}$ Behavior for the Case of BSL Definition $\sigma^2$ = 10 m $^2$ and No Shadowing	25
7.	$\sigma_{\rm H}$ Behavior for the Case of EL Definition $\sigma^2 = 10~{\rm m}^2$ and No Shadowing	26
8.	Comparison of Shadowing Effects for $\sigma^2 = 10 \text{ m}^2$	26
9.	The Effect of Relative Antenna Heights on $\sigma_0$ Behavior for Both Definitions. Uniform Surface With T=500 m and $\sigma^2=10~\rm{m^2}$	27
0.	The Effects of Relative Antenna Heights on Diffuse Power for Both Surface Types, EL Definition and No Shadowing	28
1.	The Effect of Relative Antenna Heights on og Behavior With Shadowing for Both Definitions and Both Uniform and DABS Surfaces	30
2.	The Effect of Relative Antenna Heights on Coherent Power Behavior for DABS Site Data	31

# 23. The Effect of Z and σ² on Coherent Power Behavior for a Uniform Surface, T · 500 m and Low Altitude Conditions 32 A1. Azimuthal Difference and Azimuthal Sum Pattern and Elevation Pattern of DABS Antenna 38 Tables A1. Experimental Conditions for Discrete Address Beacon System Tests 39

# The Need for an Expanded Definition of Glistening Surface

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Electromagnetic signals so attered from rough terrain include contributions from clutter return and multipath return. <sup>1,2</sup> These two aspects can be described theoretically if properties of the terrain such as the probability density function (PDF) for the surface height distribution, the covariance matrix R, the variance in surface height, <sup>2</sup> and the complex dielectric constant characterizing the surface are known. The numerous theoretical models of EM wave scattering from rough surfaces <sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup> all relate the normalized cross section of terrain to the foregoing parameters of the rough surface.

# 1.1 Background

There have been a number of models developed which have represented the phenomena involved in the scattering in different ways. Two main elements common to all the techniques are the description of terrain features by the use of statistical estimation theory and the electromagnetic scattering formulation that incorporates the statistical results.

Received to spublication & January 1983)

<sup>(</sup>Due to the large number of references cited above, they will not be listed here,  $s \sim \text{Reference}(s)$ , range 35.)

Extensive descriptions of the various statistical and electromagnetic models can be found in earlier reporting. 6,7 In those models we have used the concept of "glistening surface" (that part of the rough surface from which reflected waves can reach the receiver for a given position of the transmitter and receiver) as described by Beckmann and Spizzichino. 1 Their expressions for the length and width of the glistening surface were derived by making certain assumptions about the physical mechanisms occurring when EM waves are scattered from a rough surface. Some general discussion of the models will be outlined here; further details are presented in Appendix A. A computer program has been developed which has the capability of calculating the coherent (specular plus direct) and incoherent multipath power reaching a monopulse receiver from a beacon located over rough terrain. Discussions of coherence and the methods for calculating the coherent and incoherent power are given in Appendix A. The results that were obtained are discussed in terms of comparisons with test results at the Discrete Address Beacon System (DABS) site. <sup>8</sup> A geometrical representation of the experimental conditions is shown in Figure 1.

Bahar  $^9$  has obtained very general expressions for the normalized cross sections ( $\sigma^{\circ}$ ) of rough surfaces using the full wave approach. He has shown that when the major contributions to the scattered fields come from regions of the rough surface around the stationary phase points, the full wave solutions reduce to the physical optics solutions. In our models, the expressions for  $\sigma^{\circ}$  are based upon the physical optics approximations.

When the surface heights are normally distributed, the expression for  $\sigma^{\circ}$  used in this study is one derived by Hagfors,  $^{10}$  Barrick,  $^{11}$  and Semenov.  $^{12}$  When the surface heights are described more accurately by a bivariate exponential, then  $\sigma^{\circ}$  is given by an expression derived in Ruck et al.  $^2$  Two previous studies  $^{13}$ ,  $^{14}$  have indicated that the surface heights for certain terrain are better described by multivariate probability density functions having bivariate exponential marginal densities. This result comes from the use of the hypothesis testing procedure (see Appendix A) developed to characterize height data.

Another important aspect is the inclusion of the effect of local shadowing. Sancer's  $^{15}$  shadowing function is used for normally distributed surface heights. An extension of Brown s $^4$  work accounts for shadowing in the case of exponentially distributed surface neights.

<sup>(</sup>Due to the large number of references cited above, they will not be listed here. See References, page 35.)




Figure 1. Specular and Diffuse Forward-Scatter From a Rough Surface and a Representation of the Glistening Surface

# 1.2 The Problem

In the previous studies, the use of the Beckmann-Spizzichino definition in the application of the models to the DABS test conditions resulted in underprediction of the azimuthal angle uncertainty  $\sigma_q$  and the associated diffuse power, particularly at short ranges. As a result re-were led to an examination of the length definition for such conditions. As an alternative, we chose to consider the entire extent of the antenna separation as the surface contributing to the received diffuse power. The defining relations for the two surfaces of integration are the following (see Figure 1):

First there is the length as given by Beckmann and Spizzichino. <sup>1</sup> They determine the length by calculating the location of the two end points of the glistening surface. For a homogeneous surface, the distances from the end points to the transmitter and receiver  $(L_1, L_2)$  are based on the respective heights  $(H_T, H_A)$  and a roughness criterion  $(\sigma/T)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is the surface height variance and T is the correlation length. Then,

$$L_1 = H_T \cot (2\beta_0)$$

$$L_2 = H_V \cot (2\beta_0)$$
(1)

where

$$\tan \beta_0 = 2\sigma/T$$
.

In the extended length definition, the entire distance along the surface between the transmitter and receiver is included and, effectively,  $L_1 = L_2 = 0$ .

For both cases, Beckmann and Spizzichino's definition of width (W) of the glistening surface is used:

$$W = \frac{2X_1 X_2}{D} \left( \frac{H_A}{X_1} + \frac{H_T}{X_2} \right) \left[ \tan^2 \beta_{ij} - 0.25 \left( \frac{H_A}{X_1} - \frac{H_T}{X_2} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$
 (2)

Here,

D = total ground distance from transmitter to receiver,

 $X_1$  = distance from transmitter to point on glistening surface,

 $X_2$  = distance from receiver to point on glistening surface.


When the extended length was included in the model it was found that better agreement with the experimental data could be obtained with this version. The experimental data was taken by Lincoln Laboratory personnel at the Discrete Address Beacon System (DABS) test site. The data used for comparison, boresight azimuthal pointing direction as a function of range, has been processed to remove the contribution associated with the fact that the mechanical and electrical boresight directions do not coincide. The boresight pointing direction data was divised into range bins and a local mean-azimuthal-pointing-direction was established for each bin. Then, the standard deviation about the local mean was calculated. This standard deviation represents the azimuthal angular uncertainty,  $\sigma_{\theta}$  of their monopulse receiving antenna. (Following generally accepted usage, this report contains three similar symbols having distinct meanings. Care should be taken to distinguish  $\sigma_{\theta}$  and  $\sigma_{\theta}$ .)

The angular uncertainty increases as the amount of diffuse multipath power entering the receiver increases. An expression relating  $\sigma_{ii}$  to the diffuse power scattered into the receiver is given in Appendix A. It includes contributions from noise in the system.

Figure 2 shows the diffuse power for the two theoretical models and the results based on the experiment. The terrain data base allows comparison only for ranges less than 60 km. The extended definition of the glistening surface provides better overall agreement with the experimental data, particularly for ranges R less than 45 km. For those ranges, the Beckmann and Spizzichino definition gives the result that the glistening surface does not exist and thus there is no diffuse power. The

overal: bedavior is more of mphases than this and requires some additional as a ment. The masses that the small be moder that some additional cases where there is discrepentable been recreed. Those surfaces were uniform with given rengions, nevels. The effect of length definition in those cases is similar to that tor the noneral and conditions of the experimental data.

# COMPARISON OF DIFFUSE FOWER DATA AND RESULTS, FROM THEORETICAL



righte 2. Combonison Between Usual Clistening Surveye. "Extended Aistening Surface, and DABS Data: Diffuse attorest at adomina havige

1: If instances, the azimutual error and liffuse power vanish for some teterms of purctions when the Belike Jann-Spizziehino definition is used. This must wo early to tions. The glistening of stace may be nonenest int for the Beckmann in t so the main obtained in Attenuation, in the surface master, then the excess section, it ter this arrive is negligible. From  $\sigma^2 = 0$  m<sup>2</sup> and  $\sigma^2 = 1$  m<sup>2</sup>, there is a similar in the light does of the vories distances exceed the connection of the colonito the last the control of the sign of the signation is more extracted at the control of the con the control of the control of the figure shows the control of the control of the control of ser in story real transfer the foodien and to 155 174 ms. The server also on the section that is clied below as the  $(as, b)^2$  in the (a, b) . We expect the (b, b) section In the tacket on distributions of the As of Sections, the court of

or Physical Later Company and Paders recorded by Andreas The state of the s

significant  $\sigma^2$  become narrower with peaks centered near the specular point location. When the region over which  $\sigma^\circ$  is large is not included in the glistening surface, the result will be correspondingly small diffuse power contributions and angular errors. A further point is that even for sufficiently large  $\sigma^2$  values, there are likely to be  $\sigma^\circ$  contributions from the surface areas excluded by the Beckmann-Spizzichino  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  values.

These various findings provided the motivation for a study of the implications of using the conventional length definition and consideration of when it would not be satisfactory.




Figure 3. As restron of  $\sigma$  Across the America Septration, T. 155, 114 ii

# 1.3 Scope

The present state eldings to a many of mentions of the result of the conditions under a mention on the converte red definition of the entire entry  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$  are the converte  $\alpha$  defined to  $\alpha$ .

For the purpose of this study we selected a scholar nominal conditions which were used in the majority of cases. In these representative cases we consider homogeneous surfaces where the heights are assumed to have a bivariate exponential dependence. The surfaces are considered to be cultivated terrain with complex dielectric constant: 80 + j 9.0. For consistency with the nonuniform data base cases, these results are also terminated at a range of 55 km.

A wide range of results are presented. The first aspect is the consideration of the generality of the effects. Frequency, polarization, surface height distribution, and antenna pattern are all allowed to vary. The next topic is a parametric study illustrating the factors controlling the need for the extended length. Then, some specialized conditions are considered. Finally, the overall question is assessed and additional topics which will be investigated in the future are outlined.

### 2. GENERALITY OF THE RESULTS

Most of the cases treated in our main study mooly-conditions appropriate to the DABS experiment. In this first part of the report we will show that although the results were first obtained for those conditions, they are not limited to them and similar behavior can be found for a wide range of additional conditions.

In this section, the rough surface is considered to be homogeneous, and the mean height  $\overline{Z}=0,0$ . The height of the transmitter is 1200 m and the height of the reserver is 100 m, unless specific totherwise.

# 2.1 Surface Height Distribution Effects

The hypothesis testing procedures described in Appendix A were used to determine whether the terrain heights at the DABS test site more nearly by either a big ariate Gaussian or exponential distribution function. The results of these tests in heated a better fit to the bigariate exponential distribution function. As a result, most of the investigations on the effect of extending the length of the glistening sucrate in this report are confined for exponential distributions in surest e heights. We first want to show that this a sum provides not critically affect the results.

In exponentially limitable of same a beights and for the Be among an Spizzi-chino deformion of distance surface. Figure 4 sheaps, typical pattern in boresight pointing accuracy of as the variance  $t^2$  is changed for eliver 1. When the extended length derivation of the glistening surface is used, the expositibility and suffuse power be an eigenstant in that the Be Romann and Spizzichino cases (see Figure 5), select, we can therefore the effects of success  $(g_1, 0)$  in earlies us of 1 cours 6 with Figure 4 and a show that the effects of showers  $(g_1, 0)$  in earlies of their bounding of the energy state  $(g_1, 0)$ .




Figure 4. Diffuse Power and  $\sigma_\theta$  Behavior for the Case of Beckmann and Spizzichino Length (BSL) Definition, T = 158, 114 m and No Shadowing





Figure 5. Diffuse Power and  $\sigma_{\rm G}$  Behavior for the Case of Unfonded Length (EL) Definition, T  $\approx 500$  m and No Shadowing



In archive Congruption of States and Uffect for the formula  $1-300\,\mathrm{m}$  , where 2

The problem of this have all assumed that the begats in the region are less smile by a mariate consential distribution but thon, so drastic difference are smile if, in the integers are considered to be described by a Gaussian obtaining them. To lines the this, some typical results are shown in Figure 7. The surface is a from a second and the antennas are at different heights. Comparison the event of the regression ding exponential uses for the Beckmann-Spiz, which have result and the extresponding exponential uses for the Beckmann-Spiz, which have repath a second as a function of the get. The bottom row of curves in Europe as should be sufficient to the first as a function of the get. The bottom row of curves in Europe as the region as a function of the assemble decrease of the region as a function as a surface heights. To show a surface the behavior is similar for both length letters as with the same values of  $\sigma^2$  and it resulting in less  $P_{\rm DIFF}$  and a where the decrease as similar for both when the decrease as similar for both when the decrease as similar to be Gaussian as a butted, rather than exponently a financial.

# 2.2 Horas of Polarization

In a particular, it is properly assume a that it incident field electric vector of iteraction and a compension of a configuration. In this section we have a continuous teacher incident, from the tric vector is linearly polarities in a particular, and to the length surface.

The probability of the probability of the ging the polar variable does not significantly a 6 of the account of the probability of the rest of the diffuse hower and  $\sigma_{ij}$  can be the got for the condition. The left-hand part of flustratures in Figure 8 shows in this case of a uniform that we show that the form of the case of a uniform that we show that the form of the according uniform.




Figure 7. The Effect of Assuming Gaussian Surface Heights on  $\sigma_{\theta}$  Behavior for Both Definitions, Uniform Surface, T = 158, 114 m

Another set of results for  $\sigma_{\theta}$  is presented in Figure 9 (horizontal polarization) for the nonuniform surface (DABS data base) and various antenna height conditions. The equivalent vertical polarization results are shown in Figure 10. Although there is little effect when both antennas are close to the surface, there is some when both are at a distance above the surface. The most significant change occurs when the two antennas are at different heights. The extended length definition for that case is considerably more affected than is the Beckmann-Spizzichino case. The overall horizontal polarization behavior when the glistening surface is extended is analogous to the case for vertical polarization. Note that for all the antenna configurations there is less azimuthal angle error for vertical polarization than horizontal polarization.




Figure 8. The Effect of Polarization on Diffuse Power Behavior for Both Definitions,  $\sigma^2$  = 10 m<sup>2</sup>. T = 100, 500 m with uniform surface and dual antenna heights

# AZIMUTHAL ERROR (DEG) VS RANGE (KM)




Figure 9. The Effect of Horizontal Polarization on  $\sigma_\theta$  Behavior for Both Definitions, DABS Site Data, No Shadowing




Figure 10. The Effect of Relative Antenna Heights on σ<sub>α</sub> Behavior for Vertical Polarization With Both Definitions and DABS Site Data


A decrease in azimuthal pointing error implies better tracking accuracy, and this aspect has been studied further. A computer program that simulates ground based radar detection and tracking of multiple targets was used to compare both polarizations in terms of relative tracking performance. In the simulation, an MTI radar is characterized as a one-dimensional rotating phased array with a three-pulse clutter cancellation system. The automatic tracker includes an  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  filter and has six-target maintenance capability. The environmental aspects include log-normally distributed ground clutter. Rayleigh distributed noise, specular multipath and terrain screening. Several track initiation and maintenance algorithms are employed. Monte Carlo techniques are used to calculate errors in range and angle estimation of each target's position.

The results of these simulations show that, in general, the MTI radar con more easily initiate and maintain track on multiple targets when the incident field is vertically polarized than when it is horizontally polarized. This is found to hold for various surface roughness parameters and several values of complex dielectric constant characterizing different classes of terrain.

The state of the s

# Line part is the its

The second of th



The following pieces of the street of the s

The left hand pair of graphs shows both glistening surface diffuse power results for the two frequencies, with  $\sigma^2$  = 10 m<sup>2</sup> and T = 500 m<sup>2</sup>. The right hand pair of figures shows both glistening surface diffuse power results for the two frequencies, with  $\sigma^2$  = 10 m<sup>2</sup> and T = 100 m. The general similarity is clear.

### 2.4 Antenna Pattern Effects

In Figure 12 we show the diffuse scattered power that results when two alternative elevation plane antenna patterns are substituted for the DABS configuration. The terrain is assumed to be a uniform surface and two levels of roughness are considered. T 500 m with  $\sigma^2$  = 10 m<sup>2</sup> and  $\sigma^2$  : 100 m<sup>2</sup>. The figure shows the increase in diffuse power as a function of range when the glistening surface length is extended for both an isotropic elevation plane antenna pattern and a  $\left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)$  pattern. It is clear that the results are equivalent for either pattern. The corresponding set of results for the DABS antenna pattern can be seen in Figures 13 and 14. In those figures the right hand side illustrations are the diffuse scattered power results for the conventional and the extended lengths respectively. Although these ranges terminate at 55 km, it is evident that the same results are present in this instance as occurred for the other two patterns.

# ANTENNA PATTERN EFFECTS




Figure 12. Antenna Pattern Effects for Both Definitions With T  $_{\odot}$  500  $\rm m$  and  $\sigma^2$  = 10  $\rm m^2$  and  $\sigma^2$  = 100  $\rm m^2$ 




Figure 13. Diffuse Power and  $\sigma_0$  Behavior for the Case of Beckmann and Spizziehino Length (BSL) Definition. T=500 m and No Shadowing




Figure 14. Diffuse Power and  $\sigma_{\mu}$  Behavior for the Case of Extended Length (EL) Definition, T= 500 m and No Shadowing

### 3. PARAMETRIC STUDIES

In Section 2, we have shown that the usual definition of givening surface length can result in diffuse power levels that are considerably different from the diffuse power at the receiver when the entire surface between the antennas is considered. The emphasis there was to show that this is not a localized result but rather quite general in terms of signal trequency, por elization, and type of surface height distribution. Now that this exercill picture has been established, we will address now the two profess presenters in the Besser and Spizzichine established. These the legislations of the results on a most to tree to ters.

Thind  $\phi^2$ , we fixed the aid allocation that they are to constant as start of results for the table  $\phi$  and  $\phi$  are the formal solutions.


# 3.1 Results to, Lived Land Variable of

In this work on a construction length is some structure. These end to a some some  $\mathbb{Z}$  by a pion construction of the angle of congress of exponential transfer on the time. Suppose the solutions of the congress of the structure of the congress of the structure of the suppose that the structure of the structur

Agricultura de la companya del companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya del companya del companya de la companya de la companya de la companya del companya del

The second of th

To complete the discussion for T=500 m, we want to consider whether there is any significant difference in the effect of local shadowing when the alternative definitions of the glistening surface length are used in the model. There is no effect on diffuse power or angular error when  $\sigma^2 < 100~\text{m}^2$  for either definition. For  $\sigma^2 = 100~\text{m}^2$ , there was a slight decrease in  $\sigma_\theta$  for R > 30 km. This can be seen by comparing Figure 15 with the corresponding cases in Figures 13 and 14. This is consistent with the expectation that the effects of shadowing would become more pronounced as  $\sigma^2$  increases, since this corresponds to increasing the surface slopes. No significant difference in shadowing effects can be attributed to the use of the particular glistening surface definition.



for t = 0.5 and diffuse power with range for variable  $\sigma^2$  values has been at the first one particular correlation length. We next want to consider the consider to  $t^2$  values at a second value, T=158.414 m. This value was selected with a comparise staff results for some ratios of  $\sigma_0 T$  that are equivalent to the  $\tau \approx 0.00$  m. This constant ratio comparison will be discussed in more described at them 4.2. The present discussion is just a general assessment of this exact staff results for both length definitions mote that these results have been into exact briefly in Section 2.10.

For the Beckmann and Spizzichino definition, Figure 4 shows the typical incoase in  $\sigma_{\rm p}$  and inffuse power with increasing  $\sigma^2$ . The shift in cutoff from 58 km to 15 km have events as  $\sigma^2$  increases from  $\sigma^2 = 1$  m<sup>2</sup> to  $\sigma^2 < 10$  m<sup>2</sup>. For  $\sigma^2 = 100$  m<sup>2</sup>, the cutoff disappears altogether. Use of the extended length definition results in the reasing the affine power and azimuthal uncertainty compared to the Beckmann and Spizzichino cases for  $\sigma^2 + m^2$  and  $\sigma^2 + 10 m^2$  (see Figure 5). For those values, the apparent absence of angular uncertainty at some ranges is removed. The difference is most noticeable for  $\sigma^2 \approx 1 m^2$ . There was no effect for antenna separations less than 55 km in the Beckmann-Spizzichino results while full integration shows that actually there is always angular error present. Of particular interest is the case for  $\sigma^2 \approx 100 m^2$ . For that case, the two definitions have different results only for R < 18 km. For greater distances, the Beckmann and Spizzichino definition yields glistening surface lengths that encompass the significant  $\sigma^2$  regions and generate results equivalent to the full integration cases.

We close the discussion on the behavior at T=158.114 m by examining the effects of shadowing. Comparison of Figure 6 with Figures 4 and 5 confirms that again the effect of shadowing is the same for either definition of glistening surface length. For both definitions, the shadowing results in a decrease in  $\sigma_{\theta}$  only for  $\sigma^2 = 100 \text{ m}^2$  and then only for R  $\pm 20 \text{ km}$ .

# 3.2 Results for Fixed $\sigma^2$ and Variable T

In this section the behavior of multipath effects are considered for fixed values of  $\sigma^2$  with varying T with the same set of conditions as in Section 3. 1.

Figure 16 shows the changes in  $\sigma_{\theta}$  as a function of range for the Beckmann-Spizzichino definition when  $\sigma^2$  = 10 m<sup>2</sup> and T is increased from 1 m to 500 m. As T increases, beyond T = 100 m, a cutoff in  $\sigma_{\theta}$  occurs which then appears at successively larger ranges. As T increases for the extended length definition (see Figure 17) there is a similar tendency towards a decrease in  $\sigma_{\theta}$  at short ranges as T increases but no cutoff occurs at any T value. The analysis of the difference in results is similar to that introduced in Section 3.1.

The preceding discussion has been for the case where there is no shadowing in the model. Figure 18 introduces the effect of shadowing for  $\sigma^2$  = 10 m $^2$  and a range of T values. For T = 1 m and T = 10 m there is an appreciable shadowing effect. As T increases, the shadowing effect lessens and is significant mostly at longer ranges until for T = 500 m, there is no effect. The behavior is similar for both sets of glistening surface definition. This can be seen by comparing the two T = 10 m curves of Figure 18 with their respective unshadowed ones in Figures 16 and 17.






Figure 16.  $\sigma_{\theta}$  Behavior for the Case of BSL Definition  $\sigma^2$  = 10 m<sup>2</sup>, and No Shadowing

Computations also were performed for the case of  $\sigma^2=1~\text{m}^2$ , and T varying from 10 m, to 158.114 m. The behavior of  $\sigma_\theta$  and diffuse power vs range is analogous to the case where  $\sigma^2$  was fixed at 10 m $^2$  and T is increased from 100 m to 500 m. The coherent scattered power, though, is closer to flat surface conditions since  $\sigma^2$  is less. Thus, the  $\sigma_\theta$  curves have the corresponding oscillatory structure superimposed on the general variation. The general comment can be made that, for fixed  $\sigma^2$ , the effect of shadowing decreases as T increases since this corresponds to a decrease in surface slope ( $\sigma/T$ ). Also, the shadowing is more pronounced at longer ranges which represent smaller grazing angles.



Figure 3. The basis of the case of the present that the finite  $\sigma^2 = 60 \, {\rm g}^2$  are  $\sim 850$  downs.





# a. HUBBIER RESULTS

This section includes the effects on the EM wave scattering of varying the transmitting and receiving antenna heights, the effects of uniform vs nonuniform (real terrain) rough surfaces and the behavior of the sum pattern coherent power as a function of the system parameters.

# 4.1 Altitude of Antennas

# 4.1.1 A UNIFORM ROUGH SURFACE

In this section, it is assumed that the entire geological region is uniform, at zero mean height, that  $\sigma^2$  = 10 m $^2$ , T = 500 m, that there is no shadowing and that the surface heights are exponentially distributed. In Figure 19, the effects of antenna height variations on  $\sigma_{ij}$  are shown for both length definitions. The first column of graphs in Figure 19 corresponds to the Beckmann-Spizzichino length case, and the second column corresponds to the extended length case.




Figure 19. The Effect of Relative Antenna Heights on  $\sigma_g$  Behavior for Both Definitions, Uniform Surface With T = 500 m and  $\sigma^2$  = 10 m  $^2$ 

When not's entening the state to the south the transfer of the south to the south of  $\sigma_{c}$  is R per both definitions, which each transfer of the constant constant desirablished in the reference between the force and and the specimenty resolutions in the coherent specially different conservations and the coherent specially different conservations in  $\sigma_{c}$ .

For the Beckmann-Spizzichino length definition, the glistening surface becomes smaller as  $H_T$  increases and the  $\sigma_d$  curve develops a cutoff. When both heights are increased, the length of the glistening surface approaches zero and there is no diffuse power nor  $\sigma_d$  at any range. For the extended length case, also, the firmulation and  $\sigma_d$  decrease as either  $H_A$  or  $H_1$  is increased. This result has be attributable to a decrease in the width of the glistening surface.

Figure 20 shows the behavior of the diffuse power for the two surface types and different relative antenna heights. The extended length definition is used an another shadowing is present. The graphs on the right of Figure 20 show the uniform and nonuniform surface results for the dual antenna height condition. The results are quite similar. Those of the uniform surface are slightly higher for all ranges, with the greatest difference being at the vicinity of the dip at 12 km. This dip is due to local vanishing of the width of the glistening surface. For the low height case shown on the left, the two curves are again similar in behavior, with the uniform surface generating slightly more diffuse power. The curves no longer show any local minima. Lowering the antenna heights increases the value of  $P_{\rm DIFF}$  at ranges less than 17 km, with a more rapid fall off with range beyond that point. This is not just due to changing the specular point since the uniform surface case shows similar behavior.




Figure 20. The Effect of Relative Antenna Heights on Diffuse Power for Both Surface Types, El. Definition and No Shadowing

# 4. 1.2 NONUNIFORM LERRAIN

Figure 10 is completely analogous to Figure 19, except that the terrain characteristics correspond to an actual topographic data base (see Appendix A) instead of a uniform region,

An examination of Figure 10 shows that for both definitions there are abrupt oscillations in plots of  $\sigma_a$  vs R when both antennas are near the surface. These variations are due to ray blockage (global shadowing) of the specularly reflected ray due to the unevenness of the terrain; the blocking results in abrupt variations in the coherent sum signal, and consequently in  $\sigma_a$ . Consideration of the case where both antennas are close to the surface in Figure 19 might suggest that there should be several oscillations in  $\sigma_a$  as R varies from 10 km to 55 km. However, this is not the case, because here the mean height of the terrain,  $\overline{Z} \cong 60$  m, whereas for the uniform region (Figure 19)  $\overline{Z} \cong 0$  m. It will be shown in Section 4.3 that, for  $\overline{Z} \cong 60$  m, there are only one or two oscillations in  $P_{COH}$  between 10 km and 55 km.

Next we consider the dual antenna heights case. For the Beckmann-Spizzichino length case, the cutoff in  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  as  $H_T$  increases (see Figure 19) occurs for the non-uniform region results also. Similarly, extending the length of the glistening surface also results in a noticeable change in behavior of  $\sigma_{\theta}$  for the dual heights case. Finally, for both antennas at a large distance above the surface, the extended length result for the average value of  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  vs R is approximately the same for the nonuniform surface as for the uniform region. This does not hold for the Beckmann-Spizzichino case since there is now a significant extent of ranges for which  $\sigma_{\theta}$  is detectable.

# 4. 1. 3 THE EFFECTS OF SHADOWING

The topic here is the effect of shadowing for the various heights and surface conditions when both definitions of glistening surface are used. The top row of graphs in Figure 21 show the results for  $\sigma_{\theta}$  with shadowing for the two types of surface with both antennas close to the ground. Since both definitions lead to similar effects for that case, only the extended length results are shown. Comparison of these two graphs with their corresponding unshadowed results in Figures 10 and 19, reveals only slight decreases due to the shadowing with a slightly greater effect for the nonuniform surface. The second row of graphs in Figure 21 show the result for  $\sigma_{\theta}$  with shadowing for the nonuniform surface when both the antennas are at a large distance above the surface. Both definitions have been used. Comparison of these two results with the corresponding unshadowed cases in Figure 10, confirms that there is no noticeable contribution from shadowing under either definition for this antenna configuration.





togale 111. Euro III et toe Bellitare Noteria Heroda er ty Bellian er With the fermion to 1000 potential er (1000)

### 4.2 The Effects of the Ratio of T

The converges course so that apply for Gaussian and grainful surface beautiful instructions. In Section 4.3 if will be shown and the converge power depends on the ratio of var. In Albertain Survay to the table that before such the solution of the ratio of var. This meritainship is the table that before such the solution of the case 4 and 11 to the ratio and 12 to the ratio and 13 to the ratio and 14 to the ratio and 14 to the ratio and 15 to

core a choice special fitte duriethests one be seen up to behaviour of a choice to ustate the most especial recent to antique the regime decreating the rest all values of each to the rest a sharp to ak near the special point. As the conservation peaks the rest are reasons to magnitude. Thus the competitional of the conservation because it the peaks the rest are reasons to magnitude. Thus the competition follows the conservation because it the conservation of the trunk point is the rest and the conservation because the state of the trunk point is the rest and the conservation of the trunk point is the rest and the conservation of the trunk point is the rest and the conservation of the conservation of the trunk point is the rest and the conservation of the c

# 4.3 Coherent Power Rehation

In this coston, the behavior of the collected scattered power is no used to some of the countries of which the  $C_{ij}$  is the word research. These are in label case type as operated as a stabilization of a points of an interpretable for R behavior. The times are a suggest 22 most the case of the countries of  $P_{\rm COB}$  to the constability of the constability of the constability.




Figure 12. The Effect of Relation All man Heights In the Lord Hower Behavior for PASS See Data

relative heights of the antennas are changed (DABS data base). In both equal height cases, the specular point and Fresnel zones tend to be centered between the two antennas while the dual height case results in specular regions close to the receiving antenna. Thus, the substantially different behavior beyond 50 km in that instance represents contributions to the coherent power from regions having a different (smoother) surface characterization from the other cases. In general, the three curves show relatively rough surface behavior. The significant difference being that for the low height case there are some ray blockage of ects present and some possible multirath contributions at short ranges. This is supported by Figure 23. There, the Z : 60 m case shows typical behavior for a uniform stat surface with the autennas naving the mean antenna neight of the nonunitaria case. The uniform surface removes the ray blockage effects for low autenna heights.

The remaining two curves of Fagure 23 show the effect — unface height variance,  $\sigma^2$ , for the antennas close to the uniform surface with  $\tilde{z}=0$  m. For  $\sigma^2=10$  m<sup>2</sup> the coherent power shows large amplitude oscillations for R = 5 km. (In contrast, for the same conditions, the dual heights have a results in the contribute of the civillations being small and occurring only only at R = 5 km. (In each difference)




Figure 23. The Effect of  $\overline{Z}$  and  $\sigma^2$  on Coherent Power Behavior for a Uniform Surface. T = 500 m and Low Altitude Conditions

can be explained in terms of the fact that the amplitude of the coherent power is a complicated function of  $\sigma\sin\theta/\lambda$  where  $\lambda$  is signal wavelength and  $\sin\approx(H_T-H_A)/R$ . Then, for a given  $\sigma^2$ , a lower transmitter height increases the oscillation amplitudes and decreases the frequency of oscillation. Thus, only at large R values does the effective surface roughness ( $\sigma\sin\theta/\lambda$ ) become small enough to cause oscillations in the dual height case, while the roughness is always sufficiently small in the low height case. Note that, beyond the R-75 km point, no further oscillations are shown. This is due to the fact that the large amplitude and low frequency of the oscillations are incompatible with any additional plotting within the scale of the figure. In contrast, the curve for  $\sigma^2$  = 100 m<sup>2</sup> demonstrates that a sufficiently high variance in surface height preserves rough surface behavior even for the low height case.

### 5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

To summarize the results of these studies, we start with discussions of the  $(\sigma, T)$  aspects. First, there is the behavior of  $\sigma^{\mathfrak{p}}$  as a function of the surface distance from the receiver. It is found that, for both types of surface height distribution, graphs of  $\sigma^{\mathfrak{p}}$  vs range, for a fixed transmitter location exhibit a peak at the specular point for small  $\sigma/T$  ratio (see Figure 3). This peak becomes less pronounced as the ratio  $(\sigma/T)$  becomes larger.

Next, for both exponentially and Gaussian distributed surface heights, the behavior of the diffuse power  $P_{\rm DIFF}$  is a function only of the ratio ( $\sigma/T$ ). The coherent power,  $P_{\rm COH}$  is a function only of  $\sigma/\lambda$ . The azimuthal angle pointing error,  $\sigma_{\partial}$  is a function of both  $P_{\rm COH}$  and  $P_{\rm DIFF}$ . For all altitudes, the effects of extending the length of the glistening surface on the behavior of  $P_{\rm DIFF}$  and  $\sigma_{\partial}$  vs R becomes more pronounced as the ratio  $\sigma/T$  becomes smaller. For small  $\sigma/T$  and both the dual height and high height antenna conditions, differences in  $P_{\rm DIFF}$  of as much as 50 dB have been observed. For these cases, the Beckmann-Spizzichino definition of length may be such that the glistening surface does not include those regions which contribute appreciably to  $\sigma^{\circ}$  (see Section 1 and Figure 3). In contrast to the  $P_{\rm DIFF}$  behavior, as the ratio  $\sigma/T$  becomes smaller the effects of shadowing become less pronounced for the two surface height distributions.

The second area of discussion is that of the two glistening surface length definitions. Consider the effect of antenna height. For the Beckmann-Spizzichino length case, the length of the glistening surface becomes smaller as either the height of the antenna or the height of the transmitter is increased. Hence, using the extended length definitions changes the behavior of  $P_{\rm DIFF}$  and  $\sigma_{\theta}$  vs R for either the dual or high height conditions, while both definitions lead to equivalent results for the low height case.

Some length results do not depend on relative antenna heights or surface height distribution. First, the effects of extending the length of the glistening surface are more pronounced at short ranges than at long ranges. Second, extending the length of the glistening surface has no direct influence on the effects of shadowing. Also, for both length definitions, the effects of shadowing are more significant at longer ranges (smaller grazing angles).

Another distinct area is that of coherent power. For small and moderate values of  $\sigma$ , there are oscillations in  $P_{\rm COH}$  vs R due to constructive and destructive interference between the direct ray and the specularly reflected ray. The oscillations in  $P_{\rm COH}$  increase in amplitude and decrease in frequency as R increases. The result if this is that corresponding oscillations appear in the variation of  $\sigma_{ij}$  vs R if there is sufficient diffusely scattered power. A different coherent power phenomenon is

catomers as a consequence of the particle of the consequence of the co

The next espect of be a the sector during the neighborhood of a contactive to the sector and the sector of the sector of the sector during the sector of the

Although the form of the shadowing function for the two types of increase being a stribution is different, these studies show that the effects of an downnous  $P_{\rm DH,P}$  and  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  in the two instances are qualitatively similar if ad other parameters are equal. This similarity is also found in comparing the results of the two lengths of glistening surface.

The final area of discussion is the polarization of the signal. The effects of extending the length of the glistening surface on  $P_{\rm DIFF}$  and  $\sigma_a$  for horizontal polarization are completely analogous to those for vertical polarization. The studies lead to the general assertion that there is less diffusely scattered cower and hence greater angular tracking accuracy when the incident field is vertically polarized. The results of the corroborative analysis of this aspect allow a further conclusion, that the effects of specular multipath for vertical polarization result in better tracking performance of an MTI radar system. This was found to be true at both L-band and S-band frequencies.

We have discussed the ranges of terrain statistics and system parameters for which the usual definition of glistening surface length may lead to significant underestimation of the diffuse scattered power and azimuthal pointing error. The magnitude of the effects associated with different radar or terrain characteristics has also been assessed. A number of additional aspects remain to be resolved. In the present study the width of the glistening surface was determined by the Beckmann-Spizzichino relation. Inasmuch as the length definition has proven to be inadequate, in many cases it may also be true that removing the width constraint may after the results of the scattering. This can be explored by including an azimuthal variation in  $\sigma^{\circ}$  in the calculations. Another aspect that will be investigated is the degree of sensitivity of the results to changes in the dielectric constant assigned to given surface features. This would correspond, for example, to consideration of the effect of moisture content in the soit.

### References

- Beckman, P., and Spizzichino, A. (1963) The Scattering of Electromagnetic Waves From Rough Surfaces, New York, Macmillan Co.
- Ruck, G. T., Barrick, D. E., Stuart, W. D., and Krichbaum, C.K. (1970) <u>Radar Cross Section Handbook</u>, 2, New York, Plenum Press.
- 3. Long, M. W. (1975) Radar Reflectivity of L-band and Sea, Lexington, Books, Lexington, Massachusetts.
- Brown, G.S. (1980) Shadowing by non-Gaussian random surfaces, <u>IEEE Trans</u>. <u>Antennas Propagat</u>. <u>AP-28</u>:788-790.
- Peake, W. H. (1959) The Interaction of Electromagnetic Waves With Some Natural Surfaces, Antenna Laboratory, Ohio State University, Report No. 898-2.
- 6. Papa, R.J., and Lennon, J.F. (1980) Electromagnetic Scattering From Rough
  Surfaces Based on Statistical Characterization of the Terrain, presented at
  International Radio Science Symposium, (URSI), Quebec, Canada.
- 7. Papa, R. J., Lennon, J. F., and Taylor, R. L. (1980) Electromagnetic Wave Scattering From Rough Terrain, RADC-TR-80-300, AD A098939, Hansoom AFB, Massachusetts.
- 8. McGarty, T. P. (1975) The Statistical Characteristics of Diffuse Multipath and Its Effect on Antenna Performance, AD A009869.
- 9. Bahar, E. (1981) Scattering cross sections for random rough surfaces: Full wave analysis, Radio Science, 16:331-341.
- Hagfors, T. (1964) Backscattering from an undulating surface with applications to radar returns from the moon, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> 68:3779-3784.
- 11. Barrick, D. E. (1968) Relation between slope probability density function and the physical optics integral in rough surface scattering, Proc. IEEE, 56:1728-1729.
- Semenov, B. I. (1965) Scattering of electromagnetic waves from restricted portions of rough surfaces with finite conductivity. <u>Radiotekh. i Elektron</u>, 10:1952-1960.

#### References

- 13. Lennon, J. F., and Papa, R. J. (1980) Statistical Characterization of Rough Terrain, RADe -TR-80-9, AD A08:746, Hansom AFB, Massachusetts.
- 14. Papa, R.J., Lennon, J.F., and Taylor, R.L. (1980) Prediction of Electromagnetic Scattering for Rough Terrain Using Statistical Parameters Derived From Digitized Topographic Maps, RADC-TR-80-289, AD A094104, Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts.
- 15. Sancer, M.I. (1969) Shadow-corrected electromagnetic scattering from a randomly rough surface, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat. AP-17:577-585.
- Papa, R.J., Lennon, J.F., and Taylor, R.L. (1981) An Improved Model for Scattering From Rough Terrain, presented at International Radio Sciences Symposium (URSI), Los Angeles, California.
- 17. Barton, D.K., and Ward, H. R. (1969) Handbook of Radar Measurement, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall, Inc.

### Appendix A


Scattering Model


The results of this study were obtained from a sophisticated model describing the multipath effects associated with scattering of a signal by rough terrain. This model includes such factors as: (a) azimuthal and elevation power patterns of a monopulse receiver (see Figure A1); (b) the spatial nonuniformity of the rough earth; (c) nonuniformities in the glistening surface; (d) possible multiple specular reflection points due to unevenness in the surface; (e) the surface height distribution characterization and (f) both global and local shadowing effects.

The program normally uses system parameters associated with the L-band Discrete Address Beacon System to allow comparisons with experiment. These are defined in Table A1 and Figure A1. External inputs include the complex dielectric constant for each surface area, the coordinates of the monopulse receiver, the velocity and initial and final position of the aircraft containing the transmitter, and a parameter to control the effects of shadowing.


The description of the model may be divided into two major topics. These are the techniques required to assign appropriate statistical properties to the terrain and the specifics of the electromagnetic formulation. Each of these aspects requires some discussion.

There are several surface feature contributions in the model. Analyses of the scattering from rough surfaces consider the surface heights in the region as pairs of scattering elements and in most cases, including this study, assume that the height distribution can be described by either a bivariate Gaussian or exponential probability density. These two bivariate densities have the forms:





Lagrage AA, Cavarra fin di Dorie con amir Avincuti, US an Parrage, end Cles ation Pattern of DARS avisable.



(Gaussian)

$$p(Z_1, Z_2) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi \sigma^2 \sqrt{1 - C^2}}\right) \exp \left[-\frac{(Z_1 - \mu_1)^2 - 2C(Z_1 - \mu_1)(Z_2 - \mu_2) + (Z_2 - \mu_2)^2}{2\sigma^2 (1 - C^2)}\right]$$

(Exponential)

$$p(Z_1, Z_2) = \left(\frac{3}{2\pi \sigma^2 \sqrt{1 - c^2}}\right) \exp \left[-\frac{\left((Z_1 - \mu_1)^2 - 2C(Z_1 - \mu_1)(Z_2 - \mu_2) + (Z_2 - \mu_2)^2\right)^2}{\frac{1}{3} \sigma^2 (1 + c^2)}\right]^{1+2}\right]$$

Both forms have the same set of defining parameters, the mean height  $\mu$ , the variance  $\sigma^2$ , and the correlation function C.

	the state of	13
	• •	2.22
		<b>;</b>
	r r	* r
	the state	12211
		t gant
	•	
		Haranese .
	Section 1	
		1. 27 cm
	A war transfer	: * * .
	· · ·	- 11:
		• . 5

The proof to be set to enter and a consideration of the set oblines of the set of the set

The second of the second of the figure of the extra the

the first of the constraint of the constraint of the tent of the content of the c

simple alternative hypothesis test is equivalent to a minimum error probability criterion where it is equally likely that either density is the appropriate one.

For the comparison of the scattering theory with the experiment, these techniques had to be applied to the terrain at the eastern Massachusetts site. A data base of topographic elevations for this area is available at the Electromagnetic Compatibility Analysis Center (ECAC) in Annapolis, Maryland. This was prepared from digitized terrain maps supplied by the Defense Mapping Agency (DMA). The area of interest is divided into rectangular cells, each with sides of about 2 km. Each cell is further subdivided into a 10 by 10 grid of points. The statistical analysis is then applied to the individual cells.

The statistical data for each cell has been recorded on a computer tape for use with the program for the electromagnetic analysis. Each cell is represented by seven descriptors. The first two entries are the (x,y) coordinates for the center of the cell. Next is the geological code (dielectric constant) for the cell. (The predominant feature is woods; there are a number of cells containing clusters of lakes and ponds and a few town sites with associated cleared areas.) This is followed by the mean and variance of the heights in the cell and the estimated correlation length, T (the units of length are in meters). The final quantity is the result of the hypothesis test.

The trajectory of the beacon aircraft is incorporated into the computer program and at each range point for which a calculation is to be made the required cells and their descriptors are then identified. These results, or in the general case, the equivalent set of input parameters are then used in the electromagnetic analysis.

The calculation of the electromagnetic fields has two distinct elements. First, the total coherent electric field  $E_{\rm COH}$  at the receiver is calculated using the sumpattern of the receiver antenna in the following expression:

$$\mathbf{E}_{\text{COH}} = \mathbf{E}_{\text{T}} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{j} \mathbf{G}_{j}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{||\mathbf{m}}) \mathbf{R}_{j} \right\} \mathbf{F}_{j} \mathbf{e}^{i\mathbf{k}\boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathbf{R}}$$
(A1)

where

 $E_{rr}$  = direct path electric field at the receiver.

 $G_{j}(\theta)$  = gain of receiver in direction of multipath ray, where  $\theta$  is

the angle between the direct ray and multipath ray,

R; = attenuation factor affecting coherent reflected wave due to surface roughness.

= complex Fresnel plane wave reflection coefficient,

 $k = 2 \pi/\lambda$ , and

 $\Delta R$  = difference in path length between direct ray and reflected ray.

The summation over j represents all possible specular reflections (there may be more than one, due to unevenness in terrain). Here, coherence means there is a known phase relationship between the EM field leaving the transmitter and that reaching the receiver.

The next aspect is that of the diffuse power. The diffuse power in the monopulse difference channel is calculated from the expression

$$P_{\text{DHFF}} = \frac{P_{\text{T}} N_{\text{LOSS}} \lambda^{2}}{(4\pi)^{3}} \iiint \left( \frac{G_{\text{TR}}^{AZ} (\phi_{2}) G_{\text{R}}^{AZ} (\phi_{1})}{R_{1}^{2} - R_{2}^{2}} \right) \bullet \left( G_{\text{TR}}^{\text{EL}} (\theta_{1}) G_{\text{R}}^{\text{EL}} (\theta_{2}) \sigma^{2} (\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}) \right)$$
(A2)

where

 $X_{LOSS}$  = system processing losses,

Pr = transmitted power,

 $\lambda$  = wavelength.

 $G_{TR}^{AA}$  = gain (power) of transmitter in azimuth (isotropic pattern),

 $G_{R}^{AZ}$  = gain of receiver in azimuth (difference pattern, Figure A1),

 $G_{TD}^{EL}$  = gain of transmitter in elevation (isotropic pattern).

 $G_{R}^{EL}$  = gain of receiver in elevation (Figure A1),

 $\theta_1$  = elevation angle between boresight and point on glistening surface for transmitter,

 $\theta_{\,2}$  = elevation angle between boresight and point on glistening surface for receiver,

R<sub>1</sub> = range between transmitter and point on glistening surface,

R<sub>2</sub> = range between receiver and point on glistening surface.

dS = element of area of glistening surface which is illuminated by beacon.

φ<sub>2</sub> = azimuthal angle between boresight and point on glistening surface for transmitter.

The diffuse power integral centums on expression for the normalized ever g exhibitatic rough surface cross section,  $\sigma$ , which comes from these ethal. The expressions derived by fluck the quite general and mighty complicated, in our state we use simplified forms which follow from the issumption that the receiver g is from the transmitter so that the portion of the glistening surface that contributes to the diffuse multipath is a long, marrow strip extending between the transmitter and receiver. This assumption allows us to make the approximation that the azimuthal selections ungle  $\phi = 0.00$ . This results in the relation:

$$\rho_{\rm opt} = \rho_{\rm opt} \frac{2}{\pi} \pi (s) \tag{3}$$

where S = local shadowing function,

$$= a = \left(\frac{r^2}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2}}\right) = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{r^2}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\xi^2_X}{\xi^2_X}\right)\right]$$

for a Gaussian bivariate surface neight probability density function and

$$A: \left(\frac{\gamma T^2}{\sigma^2 |\xi_z|^2}\right) = \exp \left[-\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}|T|}{2\sigma}\right) \left(-\left|\frac{\xi_x}{\xi_z}\right|\right)\right]$$

for an exponential surface height probability density function. The copolarized pairs of scattering elements in the matrix  $\beta_{pq}$  are given by

$$\beta_{\text{VV}} = \frac{1 + \cos 2\alpha \cdot R_{1|1}(\alpha)}{(\cos \theta_1 + \cos \theta_2)} \quad \text{(vertical polarization)} \tag{54}$$

with a similar expression for the horizontal-horizontal scattering matrix element  $\beta_{\rm hh}$ . In the above expressions, the subscript  $\frac{1}{12}$  refers to the L-field in the plane of incidence:

$$R_{\perp}(\alpha) = \frac{\epsilon_{r} \cos \alpha - \sqrt{\epsilon_{r} - \sin^{2} \alpha}}{\epsilon_{r} \cos \alpha - \sqrt{\epsilon_{r} - \sin^{2} \alpha}}$$

 $\epsilon_{p}$  - the relative complex dielectric constant of the surface,

 $\theta_{\perp}$  = angle of incidence (with respect to surface normal),

 $\theta$  = angle of scattering (with respect to surface normal).

$$\xi_{\rm X} = \sin \theta_1 - \sin \theta_2$$
,

$$E_{\gamma} = -\cos\theta_1 - \cos\theta_2$$

 $(\mathbf{r}_{i}, \mathbf{r}_{i}, \mathbf{r$ 

The final ispect of the model is the szincatral angle error. To ideal to 11-, 25 issume that the spectral width of the diffuse multipath is narrow combared to the bandwidth of the receiver processor, and that both noise power and diffuse multipath power are Rayleigh distributed. For the conditions of the DABS System, the decorrelation time of the diffuse multipath power is of the order of  $10^{-2}$  sec, and the interpulse period is of the order of  $10^{-6}$  sec. Also, for the DABS experiment test site, the spectral width of the diffuse multipath power is of the order of 100 Hz, and the bandwidth of the receiver processor is about  $5\times 10^4$  Hz. This shows why even narrowband Doppler filtering cannot reduce the diffuse multipath power in the radar resolution cell containing the target. Under these conditions, the total amount of noiselike interference  $N_1$  in the radar resolution cell containing the signal is given by

$$N_{I} = P_{DIFF} + N_{O}$$
 (A5)

where

 $N_{O}$  = Noise power from environment plus receiver.

The error,  $\sigma_{\theta^*}$  in azimuthal angle pointing accuracy is given by the expression of Barton and Ward:  $^{17}$ 

$$\sigma_{\hat{\theta}} = \frac{\theta_{B}}{k_{\text{m}}\sqrt{2 \text{ STIR}}} \tag{A6}$$

where


🗼 😅 azimuthal beamwidth,

 ${
m ST(F)} = {
m F}_{COH}/{
m N}_{I} = {
m signal}$  to interference ratio in the difference channel,

Percent = coherent power in sum channel,

k - normalized pattern slope.

tr. Torre : E.K., and Ward, H.R. (1969) Handbook of Radar Measurement, Ergicwood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall, Inc.



# 

# MISSION of

## Rome Air Development Center

RADC plans and executes research, development, test and selected acquisition programs in support of Command, Control Communications and Intelligence  $(C^3I)$  activities. Technical and engineering support within areas of technical competence is provided to ESD Program Offices (POs) and other ESD elements. The principal technical mission areas are communications, electromagnetic guidance and control, surveillance of ground and aerospace objects, intelligence data collection and handling, information system technology, ionospheric propagation, solid state sciences, microwave physics and electronic reliability, maintainability and compatibility.

<del>MUNUNUNUNUNUNUNUNUNUNUNUNUNUNUN</del>

# FILMED